No. 14/13/87-6Lab./137.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947) the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s. Incharge, Hand Paper Centra Haryana Khadi Gram Udhog Board, Patti Kalyan versus Shri Ram Rattan

IN THE COURT OF SHRI P.L. KHANDUJA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, ROHTAK

Reference No. 4 of 1993

between

SHRI RAM RATTAN, S/O SHRI MAI RAM, C/O SHRI M. L. CHANDNA, 38-A PRITTAM NAGAR, KARNAL and ... Workman

THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S. INCHARGE, HAND PAPER CENTRA, HARYANA KHADI GRAM-UDYOG BOARD, PATTI KALYAN, PANIPAT

Present:

Workman in person.

Shri S. Kaushal, Authorised Representative for the management.

AWARD

In exercise of powers conferred by Sub-Clause (c) of Sub-Section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana has referred the following dispute, between the parties, named above, to this Court for adjudication, vide—Labour Department Endorsement No. SOV/1/Pani/193-92/58183—88, dated 30th December, 1992:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Ram Rattan is justified and in order? If not, to what relief he is entitled?

2. Shri Ram Rattan workman has made a statement that he has settled his dispute with the management and does not want to pursue his case and withdraw his case. In view of the statement of the workman the reference is dismissed as settled, with no orders as to costs. The reference is answered and returned accordingly.

Dated: 29th April, 1994

P. L. KHANDUJA,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court,
Rohtak.

Endorsement No. 1237, Dated 4th May, 1994.

A copy is forwarded to the following :--

(1) Labour Commissioner, Haryana, Chandigarh.

(2) Labour Officer, Panipat.

P. L. KHANDUJA,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court,
Rohtak.

No. 14/13/87-6Lab./138.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947) the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the work man and the management of M/s. M.D. Co-op. Sugar Mills, Ltd., Panipat versus President, Sugar Mills Mazdoor Sangh, Panipat.

IN THE COURT OF SHRI P. L. KHANDUJA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR COURT, ROHTAK

Complaint No. 9 of 1992

between

THE WORKMEN THROUGH PRESIDENT, SUGAR MILLS MAZDOOR SANGH REGD. PANIPAT (EMPLOYEES/WORKMEN OF THE PANIPAT CO-OP. SUGAR MILLS), PANIPAT

ana

THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S. THE PANIPAT CO-OPERATIVE SUGAR MILLS LTD., PANIPAT THROUGH ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR.

Present:

Shri Gain Chand, Authorised Representative for the workmen. Shri V. P. Kadiyan, Authorised Representative for the management.

AWARD

The workmen have filed this application under Sub-Section A of Section 33 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 and to complain that the opposite party/management have been guilty of a contravention of the provisions of Section 33 of the Industrial Disputes Ax, 1947 (14 of 1947) and some other demands.

2. Shri Gain Chand who represents the workmen Las made as statement recorded separately and in view of his statement the complaint dismissed as withdra vn, with no orders as to costs.

P.L. KHANDUJA,

Dated: 28th April, 1994

Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court, Rohtak.

Endorsement No. 1219, Dated 29th April, 1994.

A copy is forwarded to the following:

- (1) Labour Commissioner, Haryana, Chandigath.
- (2) Labour Officer, Panipat.

P.L. KHANDUJA,

Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court, Rohtak,

No. 14/13/87-6Lab./139.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947) the Governor of Ha yana is pleased to publish the following award of Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Count, Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s. Luxmi Engineering an I Woollen Mills, Panipat versus Shri Sohan Lal.

IN THE COURT OF SHRIP. L. KHANDUJA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, ROHTAK

Reference No. 666 of 992

between

SHRI SOHAN LAL, C/O SHRI T.N. PATHAK, URVARIC THEKEDAR KAMGAR UNION, 204-R, MODEL TOWN, PANIPAT,—1/orkman

and

THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S LUXMI ENGINEERING AND WOOLLEN MILLS E-5, INDUSTRIAL AREA. PANIPAT

Present:

None for the parties.

AWARD

In exercise of powers conferred by Sub-Clause (c) o Sub Secton (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana has referred the following dispute, between the parties, named above to this Court for adjudication,—vide Labour Departmen Endorsement No. S.O.V./Pani/28-92/24044—49 dated 5th June, 1992:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Soha. Lal is justifed and in order? If not, to what relief he is entitled?

2. The case was called several times, but no one present on behalf of the parties. It appears that the parties are not interested in their case hence the reference is dismissed in default, with no orders as to costs.

P. L. KHANDUJA,

Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court,
Rohtak.

Dated: 21st April, 1994

Endorsement No. 1119, dated the 28th April, 1994.

A copy, is forwarded, to the following :-

- (1) Labour Commssioner, Haryana, Chandigarh,
- (2) Labour Officer, Panipat.

P. L. KHANDUJA,

Presiding Officer, Industral Tribunal/Labour Court, Rohtak.

No. 14/13/87-6Lah./140.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947) the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, in Rohtak respect of the dispute between workman and the management of M/s. Woollen Mills, Sanoli Road, Panipat versus Tej Pal.

IN THE COURT OF SHRI P.L. KHANDUJA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, ROHTAK

Reference No. 309 of 1992

· between

SHRI TEJPAL, S/O SHRI TUGAL RAM, C/O THE WOOLLEN KHADDI TEXTILE WORKERS UNION, PANIPAT, WORKMAN

and

THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S. THE WOOLLEN MILL, SANOLI ROAD, PANIPAT.

Present: None, for the parties.

AWARD

In exercise of powers conferred by Sub-Clause (c) of Sub Section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Deputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana has referred the following dispute, between the parties, named above, to this Court for adjudication,—vide Labour Department Notification No. 3/25/90-3Lab.; dated the 29th October. 1991:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Tej Pal is justified and in order? If not, to what relief he is entitled?

#2. The case was called several times, but no one appeared on behalf of the parties. Hence the reference is dismissed in default, with no orders as to cost and returned accordingly.

P. L. KHANDUJA,

The 29th April, 1994.

Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court. Rohtak.

Endorsement No. 1225, dated the 2nd May, 1994.

A copy, is forwarded, to the following:-

- (1) Labour Commissioner, Haryana, Chandigarh.
- (2) Labour Officer, Panipat.

P. L. KHANDUJA,

Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court, Rohtak.